

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahmad, A.C. dan S. Abidin. 2008. "Audit Delay of Listed Companies: A Case of Malaysia", *International Business Research*, Vol. 1 (4):1-8. Diakses dari www.ccsenet.org/journal.html
- Ahmad, R.A.R. dan K.A. Kamarudin. 2003. "Audit Delay and the Timeliness of Corporate Reporting: Malaysian Evidence". Diakses tanggal 9 Juni 2011.
- Carslaw, C.A.P.N., dan S.E. Kaplan, 1991, *An Examination of Audit Delay: Further Evidence from New Zealand*, *Accounting and Business Research*, Winter: 21-32.
- Ghozali, Imam. 2006. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hanafi, M.M. dan Abdul Halim. 2012. *Analisis Laporan Keuangan*. Yogyakarta : Unit Penerbit dan Percetakan.
- Harmono. 2009. "*Manajemen Keuangan Berbasis Balanced Scorecard*". Jakarta: PT Bumi Aksara.
- Haron, H., Sofri, J., Chambers, A., Manasseh, S. and Ismail, I. (2006). "Level of corporate social disclosure in Malaysia", *Malaysian Accounting Review*, Vol 5, No. 1, pp 159- 184
- Hilmi, A., dan S. Ali, 2008, Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Ketepatan Waktu Penyampaian Laporan Keuangan, *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi XI*, Pontianak: 1-22.
- Hossain, M.A. dan P.J. Taylor. 1998. "An Examination of Audit Delay: Evidence from Pakistan". Diakses tanggal 9 Juni 2011.
- IAI. 2009. *Standar Akuntansi Keuangan*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Ikatan Akuntan Indonesia. 2001. *Standar Profesional Akuntan Publik*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Iskandar, M.J. dan E. Trisnawati. 2010. "Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Audit Report Lag Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia", *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, Vol. 12 (3): 175-186.
- Kartika, Andi. 2009. "Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Audit Delay di Indonesia (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan-Perusahaan LQ 45 Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Jakarta)", *Jurnal Bisnis dan Ekonomi* Vol. 16 (1): 1-17.
- Mas'ud Machfoedz. (1994). *Financial Ratio Characteristic Analysis and The Prediction of Earnings Changes in Indonesia*, *Kelola* No. 7:114-133.

- Mulyadi. 2002. Auditing. Edisi 6, Buku 1. Salemba Empat. Yogyakarta.
- Owusu-Ansah, S., 2000, Timeliness of Corporate Financial Reporting in Emerging Capital Markets: Empirical Evidence from the Zimbabwe Stock Exchange, *Accounting and Business Review*, Vol.30, No.3, April: 65-72.
- Petronila, Thio Anastasia. 2007. “Analisis Skala Perusahaan, Opini Audit, dan Umur Perusahaan Atas Audit Delay”, *Akuntabilitas* Vol. 6 (2): 129-141.
- Prabandari, J.D.M & Rustiana, (2007). Beberapa Faktor yang Berdampak pada Perbedaan *Audit Delay* (Studi empiris pada perusahaan-perusahaan keuangan yang terdaftar di BEJ). *Jurnal Kinerja*, Volume 11, No.1, Hal. 27-39.
- Prihadi, Toto. 2012. *Praktik Memahami Laporan Keuangan Sesuai IFRS dan PSAK*. Jakarta : PPM.
- PSA 29 SA Seksi 508 “LAPORAN AUDITOR ATAS LAPORAN KEUANGAN AUDITAN”.
- Standar Profesional Akuntan Publik (SPAP) No. 01 (2001 par.27)
- Subekti, Imam dan Novi Wulandari Widiyanti, **“Faktor-Faktor Yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Audit Delay Di Indonesia”**. SNA VII Denpasar Bali. 2-3 Desember 2004. pp 991-1002.
- Sugiyono. 2014. *Cara Mudah Menyusun Skripsi, Tesis, Dan Disertasi*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Supriyati Yuliasri Rolinda. (2007). Analisis Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Audit Delay (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur dan Finansial di Indonesia). *Jurnal Ekonomi Bisnis dan Akuntansi*. Vol . 10 No. 3, hal 109-126.
- Utami, Wiwik. 2006. “Analisis Determinan Audit Delay Kajian Empiris di Bursa Efek Jakarta”, *Bulletin Penelitian* No. 09.
- Watkins, A.L., W. Hillison., dan S.E. Morecroft, 2004, Audit Quality: A Synthesis of Theory and Empirical Evidence, *Journal of Accounting Literature*, No.23, p: 153-193.
- Wirakusuma, G., 2004, Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Rentang Waktu Penyajian Laporan Keuangan ke Publik (Studi Empiris Mengenai Peranan Divisi Internal Audit Pada Perusahaan-Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di BEJ). Simposium Nasional Akuntansi VII, Denpasar, Hal: 1202-1222.
- www.idx.co.id
- www.sahamOk.com
- Yuliana dan Aloysia Yanti Ardiati, “Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi *Audit Delay* di Indonesia”, *MODUS* Vol. 16 (2), (2004).

LAMPIRAN

POPULASI DAN SAMPEL PENELITIAN

No.	Kode Emiten	Nama Emiten	Kriteria			
			2011	2012	2013	2014
1	ADES	PT. Aksha Wira Internasional Tbk				
2	AISA	PT. Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk				-
3	ALTO	PT. Tri Banyan Tirta Tbk	-			
4	CEKA	PT. Wilmar Cahaya Indonesia Tbk				
5	DLTA	PT. Delta Djakarta Tbk				
6	ICBP	PT. Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk				
7	INDF	PT. Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk				
8	MLBI	PT. Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk		-		
9	MYOR	PT. Mayora Indah Tbk				
10	PSDN	PT. Prasadha Aneka Niaga Tbk			-	
11	ROTI	PT. Nippon Indosari Corporindo Tbk				
12	SKBM	PT. Sekar Bumi Tbk	-			
13	SKLT	PT. Sekar Laut Tbk				
14	STTP	PT. Siantar Top Tbk				
15	ULTJ	PT. Ultrajaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk				

DATA INFORMASI PENGAMATAN TAHUN 2011-2014

Tahun	KODE EMITEN	Y	X				
		AUDELAY	ROA(%)	SIZE	LN (SIZE)	KAP	KLIEN
2011	ADES	0	9,37	316048000000	26,47916	0	1
	AISA	1	5,16	3590309000000	28,90926	0	1
	CEKA	0	1,61	823360918368	27,43666	1	1
	DLTA	0	29,43	696166676000	27,26885	1	1
	ICBP	0	4,18	15222857000000	30,35382	1	1
	INDF	0	11,85	53585933000000	31,61231	1	1
	MLBI	0	55,74	1220813000000	27,83054	1	1
	MYOR	0	9,49	6599845533328	29,51807	0	1
	PSDN	0	8,81	421366403319000	33,67452	1	1
	ROTI	0	20,41	759136918500	27,35545	1	1
	SKLT	0	3,74	214237879424	26,09035	0	1
	STTP	1	6,46	934765927864	27,56356	0	1
	ULTJ	0	7,19	2179181979434	28,40997	0	1
	2012	ADES	0	19,69	389094000000	26,68709	0
AISA		0	8,39	3867576000000	28,98365	0	1
CEKA		0	8,15	1027692718504	27,65834	1	1
DLTA		0	38,58	745306835000	27,33706	1	0
ICBP		0	17,05	17753480000000	30,5076	1	0
INDF		0	10,64	59324207000000	31,71404	1	0
MYOR		0	11,56	8302506241903	29,74758	0	0
PSDN		0	7,44	682611125989	27,24919	1	0
ROTI		0	16,55	1204944681223	27,81745	1	0
SKLT		0	4,67	249746467756	26,24371	0	0
STTP		0	7,45	1249840835890	27,85404	0	0
ULTJ		0	18,92	2420793382029	28,51512	0	1
ALTO		1	4,15	891412775646	27,51607	0	1
SKBM		0	5,73	288961557631	26,38956	0	1
2013	ADES	0	13,42	441064000000	26,81246	0	0
	AISA	1	8,95	5020824000000	29,24462	0	0
	CEKA	0	8,09	1069627299747	27,69833	1	0
	DLTA	0	41,34	867040802000	27,48835	1	0
	ICBP	0	13,95	21267470000000	30,6882	1	0
	INDF	0	5,98	78092789000000	31,98892	1	0
	MLBI	0	88,49	1782148000000	28,20884	1	0
	MYOR	0	13,97	9709838250473	29,90416	0	0
	ROTI	0	11,57	1822689047108	28,23133	1	0
	SKLT	0	5,49	301989488699	26,43366	0	0
	STTP	0	9,71	1470059394892	28,01632	0	0
	ULTJ	0	15,53	2811620982142	28,66478	0	1
	ALTO	1	1,59	1502519389759	28,03816	0	1
	SKBM	0	49,19	159183677382	25,79332	0	0

Tahun	KODE EMITEN	Y	X				
		AUDELAY	ROA(%)	SIZE	LN (SIZE)	KAP	KLIEN
2014	ADES	0	14,4	411064000000	26,74201	0	0
	CEKA	0	4,44	1284150037341	27,88112	1	0
	DLTA	0	38,26	991947134000	27,62294	1	0
	ICBP	0	3,59	94210211000000	32,17655	1	0
	INDF	0	7,25	85938885000000	32,08466	1	0
	MLBI	0	48,33	2231051000000	28,43349	1	0
	MYOR	0	5,15	10291108029334	29,9623	0	0
	PSDN	0	-3,05	620928440332	27,15448	1	0
	ROTI	0	11,79	2142894276216	28,39318	1	0
	SKLT	0	7,1	331574891637	26,52712	0	0
	STTP	0	9,87	1700204093895	28,16177	0	0
	ULTJ	0	12,87	2917083567355	28,70161	0	0
	ALTO	1	-0,81	1239053626858	27,84537	0	0
p	SKBM	0	16,89	649534031113	27,19952	0	0

Statistik Deskriptif dan Frequencies

Deskriptif

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
ROA	55	-81,00	8849,00	1459,1091	1634,05186
SIZE	55	25,793325	33,674524	28,41492002	1,760223130
KAP	55	,00	1,00	,4727	,50386
KLIEN	55	,00	1,00	,3818	,49031
Valid N (listwise)	55				

Frequencies

AUDELAY

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Tidak Delay	49	89,1	89,1	89,1
Valid Delay	6	10,9	10,9	100,0
Total	55	100,0	100,0	

Regresi Logistik

Logistik Regression

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a	N	Percent
Included in Analysis	55	100,0
Selected Cases Missing Cases	0	,0
Total	55	100,0
Unselected Cases	0	,0
Total	55	100,0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
Tidak Delay	0
Delay	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
		Constant
1	39,675	-1,564
2	37,951	-2,011
Step 0 3	37,907	-2,097
4	37,907	-2,100
5	37,907	-2,100

- a. Constant is included in the model.
- b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 37,907
- c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed	Predicted		
	AUDELAY		Percentage Correct
	Tidak Delay	Delay	
Tidak Delay	49	0	100,0
AUDELAY Delay	6	0	,0
Overall Percentage			89,1

- a. Constant is included in the model.
- b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0 Constant	-2,100	,433	23,575	1	,000	,122

Variables not in the Equation

	Score	df	Sig.
ROA	2,747	1	,097
SIZE	,116	1	,734
KAP	6,038	1	,014
KLIEN	2,315	1	,128
Overall Statistics	8,273	4	,082

Block 1: Method = EnterIteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients				
		Constant	ROA	SIZE	KAP	KLIEN
1	35,043	-2,261	,000	,036	-,734	,390
2	28,803	-3,787	,000	,085	-1,565	,760
3	24,353	-6,228	-,001	,186	-2,534	1,014
4	19,924	-13,338	-,002	,472	-4,178	1,293
5	17,902	-21,873	-,004	,798	-6,187	1,618
6	17,383	-27,345	-,005	1,004	-7,903	1,915

7	17,302	-29,007	-,005	1,066	-9,126	2,050
8	17,282	-29,159	-,005	1,072	-10,150	2,066
9	17,275	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-11,152	2,066
10	17,273	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-12,153	2,066
11	17,272	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-13,153	2,066
12	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-14,153	2,066
13	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-15,154	2,066
14	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-16,154	2,066
15	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-17,154	2,066
16	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-18,154	2,066
17	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-19,154	2,066
18	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-20,154	2,066
19	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-21,154	2,066
20	17,271	-29,161	-,005	1,072	-22,154	2,066

a. Method: Enter

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 37,907

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 20 because maximum iterations has been reached.

Final solution cannot be found.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

	Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step	20,636	4	,000
Step 1 Block	20,636	4	,000
Model	20,636	4	,000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	17,271 ^a	,313	,628

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 20 because maximum iterations has been reached. Final solution cannot be found.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	3,356	7	,850

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

	AUDELAY = Tidak Delay		AUDELAY = Delay		Total
	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
1	6	6,000	0	,000	6
2	6	6,000	0	,000	6
3	6	6,000	0	,000	6
4	6	6,000	0	,000	6
Step 1 5	6	5,999	0	,001	6
6	6	5,947	0	,053	6
7	5	5,789	1	,211	6
8	5	4,516	1	1,484	6
9	3	2,749	4	4,251	7

Classification Table^a

Observed	Predicted		
	AUDELAY		Percentage Correct
	Tidak Delay	Delay	
Tidak Delay	48	1	98,0
AUDELAY Delay	2	4	66,7
Overall Percentage			94,5

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
ROA	-,005	,003	4,240	1	,039	,995
SIZE	1,072	,617	3,020	1	,082	2,920
Step 1 ^a KAP	-22,154	5358,973	,000	1	,997	,000
KLIEN	2,066	1,451	2,027	1	,155	7,892
Constant	-29,161	16,812	3,009	1	,083	,000

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: ROA, SIZE, KAP, KLIEN.

Correlation Matrix

	Constant	ROA	SIZE	KAP	KLIEN
Constant	1,000	,562	-,997	,000	-,325
ROA	,562	1,000	-,597	,000	-,512
Step 1 SIZE	-,997	-,597	1,000	,000	,303
KAP	,000	,000	,000	1,000	,000
KLIEN	-,325	-,512	,303	,000	1,000